

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,750	OCHIAI, KIYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: finally rejected

 (1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(3) _____.

 (2) Andrew Meikle.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to change "52% to 68% or more" to -- 52% to 68% -- in claim 1 to accurately incorporate the allowable subject matter of now cancelled claim 4.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)